Document report

Text, images and file structure

Fileverity
Entogo Inc.
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Automated analysis report issued by Fileverity. Findings support decisions made by people.

REPORT ID	ISSUED	TRUSTED TIMESTAMP	ENGINE · RELEASE
sample-signature-20261030	2026-10-31 01:06:53.532 UTC	Not available	FVDOC unknown

FILE NAME AS SUBMITTED	FORMAT	PAGES	SIZE
FV-DEMO-002.pdf	PDF	1	10 kB (10,149 bytes)

SHA-256
98523c41 7b946a98 eba43932 94a342f8 239df2c0 a0c6ab40 f95b139c 42296093

01 DOCUMENT VERDICT

RISK LEVEL

Findings requiring attention: 2

Overall handling category: L3 · Needs manual review

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Review manually before relying on this document, and request the original files.



JUDGMENT

Digital signatures · 0 valid · 1 failed validation · 0 indeterminate

PAGES 1 PHOTOS WITH DEEP ANALYSIS 0 FINDINGS 2

02 KEY FINDINGS AND ACTIONS

F-01 · Text changed after the version covered by a signature
What to do next: Check the signature result and obtain the original signed file or current verification evidence.
Pages: 1

F-02 · PDF signature needs review
What to do next: Check the signature result and obtain the original signed file or current verification evidence.

03 WHAT THIS DOCUMENT SHOWS

Each check has its own result. A finding, an observation and an incomplete check mean different things.

Text authorship indicators · Not determined

This check could not establish a result.

What to do next: This check is incomplete. Obtain the original source or supporting material if it is relevant to your decision.

Embedded images · Not applicable

No embedded images available for this check

What to do next: No action is needed for this check; no applicable content was found.

Saved edits · Needs attention

1 pages with recorded text changes · 0 added pages · 3 saved revisions

Only history still present in the file can be examined. A saved edit does not establish fraud.

What to do next: Compare the located changes with an earlier version and confirm why they were made.

Digital signatures · Needs attention

0 valid · 1 failed validation · 0 indeterminate

What to do next: Check the signature result and obtain the original signed file or current verification evidence.

Scanned amounts and dates · Not applicable

This check does not apply to the available content.

What to do next: No action is needed for this check; no applicable content was found.

Hidden content and identifiers · No adverse finding in checked scope

0 observations · 2/2 checks completed in their stated scope

Revisions, comments and hidden content may be legitimate. Review the located evidence before deciding.

What to do next: No separate action is indicated within the examined scope. Consider the other checks and the original document.

Source credentials · Limited conclusion

No trusted source confirmation established

What to do next: Request verifiable provenance from the issuer if source identity matters.

04 PASSAGE EVIDENCE

Not enough text to evaluate

There is too little continuous text to assess its origin. Text analysis needs about 150 words, or 350 Chinese characters. See the coverage section for the status of other document checks.

05 FINDINGS

F-01 · Text changed after the version covered by a signature

The retained signed revision and the current file contain different text. This structural comparison is separate from the PDF digital-signature validation results.

Page 1

BASIS File metadata STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE Moderate

F-02 · PDF signature needs review

A digital signature failed the validation policy. Inspect the signature details and obtain the original document.

BASIS Analysis STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE Moderate

06 NATIVE FILE EVIDENCE

These observations do not establish alteration. Coverage refers only to the listed checks; unsupported or skipped content is not cleared. Checks cover explicit properties and extractable text. Deleted history, inherited hidden styles, off-slide objects and visually concealed text are outside their scope.

Complete for listed checks · Observations: 0

PDF structure: 2/2 · Complete for listed checks

Key identifiers: 1/1 · Complete for listed checks

07 PDF DIGITAL SIGNATURES


Offline verification with configured trust anchors and available revocation evidence. A valid signature does not establish factual accuracy.

Examined

1 · Validation failed

Cryptographic signature: Confirmed
Signed content intact: Confirmed
Trusted certificate chain: Not established
Permitted changes: Failed

08 DOCUMENT MAP



1

Strong finding Moderate finding Observation No location marked

09 COVERAGE AND LIMITS

Pages	1
Words evaluated	Not examined
Photos with deep analysis	0
Images screened only	0
Saved edit history	Examined

10 SAVED EDIT HISTORY

Saved versions	3
Text changed after first save	Pages 1
Pages added after first save	None
Digital signatures	1

Text changed after the version covered by a signature · Pages 1

This section compares retained versions. See PDF digital signatures for cryptographic, trust and permission checks.

FILE DETAILS, AS RECORDED IN THE FILE

Created with	Not recorded
Created	Not recorded
Last modified	Not recorded
AI tools named in metadata	None found
AI label	None found
Content Credentials	Not examined

11 HOW THE VERDICT IS REACHED

- Every finding states its basis and its strength.
- One strong finding is enough to raise the verdict. Moderate findings raise it only when two independent checks agree.
- Observations are listed but never change the verdict.
- Findings in illustrations and graphics cannot raise the verdict above review.
- Evidence that is verified, such as a signature or an edit history, outweighs analysis.
- A verdict of no problems found covers what was examined. It does not establish that the document is genuine.

L0 · UNDETERMINED	Too little of this document could be examined for a conclusion.
L1 · SOURCE VERIFIED	Valid Content Credentials cover the file and nothing examined contradicts them.
L2 · NO PROBLEMS FOUND	No material integrity concerns were found within the examined scope. AI writing or an AI declaration alone is not a finding of fraud.
L3 · NEEDS MANUAL REVIEW	A finding, or a gap in what could be examined, needs a person to look before the document is relied on.
L4 · HIGH RISK	A strong finding affects this document. Review it before any decision and request the original files.
L5 · PROBLEMS ESTABLISHED	The evidence establishes that this document was altered or contains fabricated material.

12 INTEGRITY OF THIS REPORT

Report digest	`4de20fa3 10468959 b376bb69 164f9d3e 05fbf7e6 6333604a 0b1762d4 757b253f` SHA-256 of the report's canonical data (JSON), not of the PDF.
Trusted timestamp (RFC 3161)	Not available No trusted timestamp was obtained at issue. The report record can still be checked on the verification page.
Sample source	`fileverity.com/samples/document-review/analysis.json` Controlled sample. PDF revisions and signatures were checked; the demo certificate is not trusted. AI-text and image-origin models were not run.

13 ISSUER STATEMENT

Issued automatically by Fileverity, operated by Entogo Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Fileverity has no interest in the outcome of any matter this report concerns; the fee does not depend on the finding; Fileverity knows nothing of the parties beyond the uploaded file.

Reports are never amended; a new analysis creates a new report ID.

The verification record is kept for as long as this report may need to be verified, or as agreed with the customer or required by law.

SCHEMA `fileverity.docpro/1` ENGINE FVDOC RELEASE `unknown`

END OF REPORT